

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date
19 January 2006 (19.01.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2006/007408 A1(51) International Patent Classification⁷: A61F 9/013(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2005/021347

(22) International Filing Date: 16 June 2005 (16.06.2005)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/580,430 16 June 2004 (16.06.2004) US

(71) Applicant and

(72) Inventor: PEREZ, Edward [US/US]; 243 B. Street, Redwood City, CA 94063 (US).

(74) Agents: SHOOP, Rick et al.; Morrison & Foerster LLP, 755 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: EPITHELIAL DELAMINATING DEVICE



(57) Abstract: The described device is useful in the field of ophthalmology. The devices and methods for using it involve separating or lifting corneal epithelium from the eye in a substantially continuous layer to form a flap or pocket. In particular, the devices generally utilize a non-cutting, oscillating separator or dissector that is configured to separate the epithelium at naturally occurring cleavage surfaces in the eye, particularly between the epithelium and the corneal stroma (Bowman's membrane), specifically separating in the region of the *lamina lucida*, the separator or dissector having a structure that oscillates at that cleavage surface interface during the dissection step. The separated epithelium may be lifted or peeled from the surface of the eye to form an epithelial flap or pocket. The epithelium may then

be replaced on the cornea after a refractive procedure or placement of an ocular lens on the eye.

WO 2006/007408 A1

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 464802000940	FOR FURTHER ACTION		see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/US2005/021347	International filing date (day/month/year) 16/06/2005	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 16/06/2004	
Applicant PEREZ, Edward			

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 5 sheets.

It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the report

a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

b. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.

2. **Certain claims were found unsearchable** (See Box II).

3. **Unity of invention is lacking** (see Box III).

4. With regard to the **title**,

the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

EPITHELIAL DELAMINATING DEVICE

5. With regard to the **abstract**,

the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. With regard to the **drawings**,

a. the figure of the **drawings** to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 5A

as suggested by the applicant.

as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.

b. none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.

EPITHELIAL DELAMINATING DEVICE

FIELD

[0001] The described device is useful in the field of ophthalmology. The devices and methods for using it involve separating or lifting corneal epithelium from the eye in a substantially continuous layer to form a flap or pocket. In particular, the devices generally utilize a non-cutting, oscillating separator or dissector that is configured to separate the epithelium at naturally occurring cleavage surfaces in the eye, particularly between the epithelium and the corneal stroma (Bowman's membrane), specifically separating in the region of the *lamina lucida*, the separator or dissector having a structure that oscillates at that cleavage surface interface during the dissection step. The separated epithelium may be lifted or peeled from the surface of the eye to form an epithelial flap or pocket. The epithelium may then be replaced on the cornea after a refractive procedure or onto an ocular lens after placement of that ocular lens on the eye.

BACKGROUND

[0002] Refractive surgery refers to a set of surgical procedures that change the native optical or focusing power of the eye. These changes alleviate the need for glasses or contact lenses that an individual might otherwise be dependent on for clear sight. The majority of the focusing power in the human eye is dictated by the curvature of the air-liquid interface, where there is the greatest change in the index of refraction. This curved interface is the outer surface of the cornea. The refractive power of this interface accounts for approximately 70% of the total magnification of the eye. Light rays that make up the images we see pass through the cornea, the anterior chamber, the crystalline lens, and the vitreous humor before they are focused on the retina to form an image. It is the magnifying power of this curved, air-corneal interface that provided the

field of refractive surgery with the opportunity to surgically correct visual deficiencies.

[0003] Initial refractive surgical procedures corrected nearsightedness by flattening of the curvature of the cornea. The first largely successful procedure was called radial keratotomy (RK). RK was widely used during the 1970's and early 1980's where radially oriented incisions were made in the periphery of the cornea. These incisions allowed the peripheral cornea to bow outwards, consequently flattening the central optical zone of the cornea. This was fairly easy and thus, popular, but it rarely did more than lessen one's dependency on glasses or contact lenses.

[0004] A largely flawed and failed procedure called epikeratophakia was developed in the era of RK. It is now essentially an academic anomaly. Epikeratophakia provided a new curvature to the outer curvature of the cornea by grafting onto the cornea a thin layer of preserved corneal tissue. Lyophilization is the preservation method used in epikeratophakia where the cornea is freeze-dried. The tissue is not acellularized but is rendered non-living. During the process of freeze drying, the cornea is also ground to a specific curvature.

[0005] The epikeratophakia lens was placed into the eye surgically. An annular 360° incision was placed into the cornea after completely removing the epithelium from where the epikeratophakic lens would sit. The perimeter of this lens would be inserted into the annular incision and held in place by a running suture. There were several problems with epikeratophakia: 1) the lenses remained cloudy until host stromal fibroblasts colonized the lens, which colonization possibly could take several months; 2) until migrating epithelium could grow over the incision site onto the surface of the lens, the interrupted epithelium was a nidus for infection; and 3) epithelium healing onto the surgical site sometimes moved into the space between the lens and the host cornea. Currently, epikeratophakia is limited in its use. It is now used in pediatric aphakic patients who are unable to tolerate very steep contact lenses.

[0006] Major industrial research efforts tried to produce a synthetic version of the epikeratophakic graft called the synthetic onlay in a synthetic epilens. Different synthetic polymers were used (hydroxyethylmethacrylate, polyethylene oxide, lidofilcon, polyvinyl alcohol). Hydrogels of these materials normally did not have a surface that was readily conducive to epithelial cells growing and adhering onto these synthetic surfaces. This was one of the major setbacks of synthetic onlays. Epithelial cells could not adequately heal onto these lenses.

[0007] Another problem with these synthetic lenses is that they did not adhere well to the surface of the eye. Conventional suturing was difficult and the use of biological glues was also flawed. Glues were not ideally biocompatible in the cornea.

[0008] Lastly, the permeability of these hydrogels was significantly limiting. Living epithelial cells on the surface had difficulty achieving adequate nutrition. Corneal epithelial nutritional flow is from the aqueous humor through the cornea out to the epithelial cells. In the end, industrial efforts failed to develop an adequate synthetic epikeratophakic lens.

[0009] Around the mid 1990's procedures that sculpt the cornea with lasers were sufficiently successful that they began to replace radial keratotomy. The first generation of laser ablation of the cornea was called photorefractive keratectomy (PRK). In PRK, an ablative laser (e.g., an excimer laser) is focused on the cornea to sculpt a new curvature into the surface. In PRK, the epithelium is destroyed when achieving a new outer surface curve. Over the ensuing post-operative days, the epithelium has to grow or heal back into place. This epithelial healing phase was problematic for most patients since the epithelially denuded and ablated cornea was painful. It is also initially difficult to see, and this "recuperative time" can last from days to a week or more.

[0010] A subsequent variation of PRK corneal laser ablation, LASIK, has become very popular. The LASIK procedure, also known as laser in situ keratomileusis, is synonymous in the public mind with laser vision correction.

In LASIK, an outer portion (or chord-like lens-shaped portion) of the cornea (80 to 150 microns thick) is surgically cut from the corneal surface. This is performed by a device called a microkeratome. The microkeratome is a device which cuts a circular flap from the surface of the cornea which remains hinged at one edge. This flap is reflected back and an ablative (excimer) laser is used to remove or to reform a portion of the exposed surgical bed. The flap is laid back into place. When this flap is laid back into place, the cornea achieves a new curvature because the flap conforms to the laser-modified surface. In this procedure, epithelial cells are not removed or harmed. The epithelial cells have simply been incised at the edge of this flap. When the flap is placed back onto the corneal bed, the epithelium heals back at the incision site. There is essentially no recuperative time and the results are almost immediate. Because there is very little surgical time (15 minutes for each eye) and because there are lasting and very accurate results, LASIK is currently considered the premier manner of performing refractive surgery.

[0011] The newest technique being evaluated in high volume refractive surgical practices and in some academic centers is a procedure called Laser Assisted Subepithelial Keratomileusis (LASEK). In LASEK, a "flap" is made of only epithelium. This layer of epithelium is lifted off the cornea in a manner similar to LASIK. The ablative laser is focused just on the surface of the denuded cornea (in the same manner as was done with PRK). However, this epithelial flap is left intact, i.e., epithelium is not destroyed. It is simply rolled back into place after formation of the re-curved anterior portion of the cornea, resulting in much less recuperative time than with PRK. Current methods of LASEK are not as good as LASIK but the results are better than with PRK.

[0012] The corneal epithelium is a multilayered epithelial structure typically about 50 μm in thickness. It is non-cornified. The outer cells are living, although they are squamous in nature. The basal epithelial cells are cuboidal and sit on the stromal surface on a structure known as Bowman's membrane. The basal cell layers is typically about 1 mil thick (0.001"). The basal cells produce the same keratins that are produced in the integument, i.e., skin. The

basal epithelial cells express keratins 5 and 14 and have the potential to differentiate into the squamous epithelial cells of the corneal epithelium that produce keratins 6 and 9. The corneal epithelium has a number of important properties: 1) it is clear; 2) it is impermeable; 3) it is a barrier to external agents; and 4) it is a highly innervated organ. Nerves from the cornea directly feed into the epithelium, and thus, defects of this organ produce pain.

[0013] Epithelial cells are attached side-to-side by transmembrane molecules called desmosomes. Another transmembrane protein, the hemidesmosome, connects to collagen type 7 and is present on the basolateral surface of basal epithelial cells. Hemidesmosomes anchor epithelium to the underlying collagenous portion of the stroma. The junction between the epithelium and corneal stroma is referred to as basement membrane zone (BMZ).

[0014] When LASEK is performed, a physical well is placed or formed on the epithelium and filled with a selection of 20 percent ethanol and balanced salt solution. Contact with the solution causes the epithelial cells to lose their adherence at the BMZ, most likely by destroying a portion of that cell population. The epithelium is then raised by pushing the epithelium, e.g., with a Weck sponge, in a manner similar to striping a wall of paint. The exposed collagenous portion of the corneal stroma is then ablated to reshape its surface. A weakened epithelium is then rolled back into place to serve as a bandage. However, this “bandage” fails to restore the epithelium to its original state, i.e., it does not preserve the integrity of the epithelium, thereby reducing its clarity, impermeability to water, and barrier function. Furthermore, the ability of the epithelium to adhere to the corneal stromal surface is impaired.

[0015] U.S. Patent Nos. 6,099,541 and 6,030,398 to Klopotek describe an microkeratome apparatus and method for cutting a layer of corneal epithelium to prepare the eye for LASIK or other reshaping procedures. The epithelium, if replaced, is attached using surgical techniques.

[0016] None of the cited references shows or suggests my invention as described herein.

REFERENCES

[0017] Kiistala, U. (1972). "Dermal-Epidermal Separation. II. External Factors in Suction Blister Formation with Special Reference to the Effect of Temperature," *Ann Clin Res* 4(4):236-246.

[0018] Azar et al. (2001). "Laser Subepithelial Keratomileusis: Electron Microscopy and Visual Outcomes of Flap Photorefractive Keratectomy," *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 12(4):323-328.

[0019] Beerens et al. (1975). "Rapid Regeneration of the Dermal-Epidermal Junction After Partial Separation by Vacuum: An Electron Microscopic Study," *J Invest Dermatol* 65(6):513-521.

[0020] Willsteed et al. (1991). "An Ultrastructural Comparison of Dermo-Epidermal Separation Techniques," *J Cutan Pathol* 18(1):8-12.

[0021] van der Leun et al. (1974). "Repair of Dermal-Epidermal Adherence: A Rapid Process Observed in Experiments on Blistering with Interrupted Suction," *J Invest Dermatol* 63(5):397-401.

[0022] Katz SI. (1984). "The Epidermal Basement Membrane: Structure, Ontogeny and Role in Disease," *Ciba Found Symp* 108:243-259.

[0023] Green et al. (1996). "Desmosomes and Hemidesmosomes: Structure and Function of Molecular Components," *FASEB J* 10(8):871-881.

SUMMARY

[0024] The description includes mechanical non-cutting devices and methods to form a separation of the epithelium from the eye or to lift a generally continuous layer of epithelium from its supporting underlying structure. The epithelial delaminator is used to create an epithelial flap or a pocket. The flap or pocket may be used in conjunction with a refractive surgical procedure or with placement of refractive lens.

[0025] The epithelial delaminator may be mechanical in nature. Such mechanical delaminators lift epithelium in a generally continuous layer from the anterior surface of the eye by application of a dissecting, non-cutting, mechanical force. Mechanical delaminators specifically include blunt dissectors and wire-based dissectors having wires that are passive or active as applied to the eye. Of particular interest here are mechanical delaminators that are in the nature of vibrating or oscillating spatulas and are able to form epithelial pockets and flaps with reasonable ease.

[0026] Furthermore, the method of this invention may be used variously to de-epithelialize the cornea in preparation for a reshaping procedure such as LASEK or to form a pocket for inclusion of a contact lens.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0027] Figure 1A is a partial top view of an oscillating tip useful in separating the corneal epithelium.

[0028] Figure 1B is a partial side view of the FIG. 1A device.

[0029] Figure 1C is an axial, cross-sectional view of the FIG. 1A device.

[0030] Figures 2A, 2B, and 2C are partial top views of various oscillating tips.

[0031] Figures 3A, 3B, and 3C are partial side views of various oscillating tips.

[0032] Figures 4A and 4B show before and after top views of one way of forming a delaminator tip.

[0033] Figure 5A is a partial, cutaway, perspective view of a hand-held version of the mechanical epithelial delaminator separator system showing the overall placement of its components and its operation.

[0034] Figure 5B shows a partial side-view of one way of connecting the blade to the motor.

[0035] Figures 6A, 6B, and 6C show perspective views of orientation plates as used in this system.

[0036] Figure 7A shows a partial top-view of a dissector delaminator having an oscillating, rotating motion at the dissector tip.

[0037] Figure 7B shows a partial side-view of the delaminator shown in Figure 7A.

[0038] Figures 8, 9, and 10 show top views of dissectors having various tip motions.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0039] For any integument surface such as the skin, respiratory epithelium, gut epithelium, and cornea, there is an epithelial cell layer that is adherent to an underlying basement membrane. When epithelium is separated from its basement membrane and underlying collagenous tissue, a subepithelial blister is formed. In general, gross separation less than 1mm in diameter is known as vesiculation and separation greater than 1 millimeter in diameter, a true blister.

[0040] A continuous layer of corneal epithelium may be separated from or lifted from the anterior surface of the eye by applying various mechanical forces to this anterior surface, or to the basal cell layer, or to the junction between the basal cell layer and the Bowman membrane (the “lamina lucida”). The term “continuous” as used herein means “uninterrupted”. The term “mechanical force” as used herein refers to any physical force produced by a person, instrument, or device. Examples of mechanical forces include suction, shearing, and blunt forces.

[0041] Mechanical forces are applied to epithelium such as corneal epithelium by epithelial delaminators. As used herein, the term “epithelial delaminator” refers to any instrument or device that separates epithelium from the basement membrane by application of a mechanical force. Epithelium may also be separated from or lifted from the anterior surface of the eye by contacting the surface with a chemical composition that induces separation of the epithelium from the underlying stroma.

Oscillating or Vibrating Mechanical Epithelial Delaminators

[0042] In a first variation of this mechanical epithelial delaminator, the delaminator comprises a blunt, spatula-like delaminator tool (100) as is seen in Figure 1A. Typically, this tool (100) will be attached to a driver motor in such a way that the blunt tip (102) moves in a repetitive, oscillatory motion (104) that easily separates corneal epithelium from its underlying tissue without cutting that stromal tissue. In at least one variation of the device, the tip (102) moves in at least one of a side-to-side motion and an up-and-down motion. The delaminator tool (100) may be modestly cupped in the vicinity of the end (102) as may be better seen in Figures 1B and 1C. One method for forming such a cupped end will be discussed below.

[0043] The oscillatory motion (104) of the tip (102) may be produced by moving the two arms (106, 108) of the tool (100) back-and-forth as shown by arrows (110, 112). The movement of the two arms (106, 108) should be “out of phase” to cause the oscillatory motion (104). That is to say: arm (106) should be pushed while arm (108) is pulled or is stationary and arm (108) should be pushed while arm (106) is pulled or is stationary. Further, the motions imparted to the two arms from the distal ends of the arms (106, 108) by the rotational member discussed below with respect to FIGS. 5A and 5B is much more complex than is simply stated here and causes simultaneous multi-axis motions at the tip, but is included in the motion description provided just above.

[0044] The end or blunt tip (102) may be of the specific shape and bluntness shown in FIGS. 1A, 1B, and 1C with good results, but the tip (102) may be of other shapes, e.g., with a point or with a straight end or circular form, and other levels of bluntness, e.g., with additional sharpness, e.g., approaching a knife edge). Such choices are left to the designer at the time this teaching is taken and applied to the design of a tool for accomplishment of a specific task or procedure. For instance, the choice of a wide tool (100) with a blunt tip might be the best choice for the creation of a large epithelial pocket and installation of a large contact lens in that pocket.

[0045] Figures 2A, 2B, and 2C show examples of tip shape variations and Figs. 3A, 3B, and 3C show tip sharpness variations.

[0046] Figure 2A shows a top view of a round tip (140) that may be used, for instance, when separating large areas of epithelium or scarred or previously diseased epithelium. The larger area may be considered as more gentle in many circumstances.

[0047] Figure 2B shows a top view of a straight ended tip (142) that may be used, for instance, in the instance discussed just above.

[0048] Figure 2C shows a top view of an arrow-shaped tip (144). Such a tip may be useful in initially traversing a tougher epithelium or in instances where a tip with greater control is needed.

[0049] Figure 3A shows a side view of a tip (150) having a distal bulb (152). In addition to initial separation of the epithelium from the corneal stroma, the tip may be used in expanding an epithelial pocket previously or contemporaneously formed.

[0050] Figure 3B shows a side view of a tip (154) having a comparatively sharp tip.

[0051] Figure 3C shows a side view of a tip (156) having a blunt but asymmetrical tip.

[0052] The delaminating dissector tips discussed above may be formed in a variety of ways, but a desirable way is by simply forming a “pre-form” or “pre-tip” and then bending the tip into the final desired shape. For instance, the tip shown in FIG. 1A may be formed from a “pre-tip” (160) as found in FIG. 4A by moving the arms (106, 108) toward each other, e,g., by bending into the form (162) shown in Fig. 4B. Since the tip is made from a springy material such as a stainless steel or a super-elastic alloy such as “nitinol,” the cupping mentioned above is inherently formed.

[0053] The oscillatory motion mentioned above with respect to FIGS. 1A-1C may be provided a driver such as shown (in a summary or schematic fashion) in FIGS. 5A and 5B. These devices likely will be used in manual surgery and

consequently will often be formed with a handle. The variation of the driver assembly (200) shown in FIG. 5 may be handled in the fashion of a scalpel.

[0054] Driver assembly (200) comprises a battery pack (202) driving a rotary electric motor (204). The rotary motor turns a rotating member, such as a arm or disk, (206) attached to the arm segments (208, 210) of the tip (212). As the motor (204) and rotating member (e.g., arm or disk) (206) rotates, the attached arm segments (208, 210) follow it but are allowed to rotate freely with respect to the rotating arm (206). In this way, the arm segments (208, 210) maintain a specific orientation to the driver assembly as a whole. The arm segments (208, 210) pass through an orientation plate (214) and terminate at the tip (220). The rotation of the motor (204) through the rotating arm (206) moves the two arm segments (208, 210) in a coordinated fashion and causes the “out of phase” motion or “non-simultaneous” motion for the arm segments mentioned above. That is to say: the movable arm segments (208, 210) have distal ends remote from the movable tip (220) that, when attached to the rotating member (206) cause those distal ends to have a rotational motion such that the movable arm segments (208, 210) are moved, but are not simultaneously moved in the same relative direction with respect to each other, at the same time, the movable arm segments (208, 210) cooperate and cause at least one of a side-to-side motion and an up-and-down motion at the movable tip (220).

[0055] The orientation plate (214) provides a relatively constant form and physical location to the tip (220).

[0056] As shown in FIGS. 6A, 6B, and 6C, the slots in the orientation plates may be of a number of configurations. FIG. 6A shows a configuration plate (230) having canted slots (232). FIG. 6B shows a configuration plate (240) having parallel, spaced-apart slots (234). FIG. 6C shows a configuration plate (250) having parallel, close slots (252).

[0057] The described mechanical epithelial delaminators may also be considered to be blunt dissectors. Blunt dissectors have non-cutting surfaces that are appropriate for placement between the epithelium and the collagenous stromal

tissue. As used herein, the term “non-cutting” means that the blunt dissector does not have the ability to incise into the stroma of the cornea when used with normal force. I believe that my blunt dissectors separate the epithelium from the stromal layers of the cornea in the basal membrane zone at the natural point of weakest attachment, i.e., the lamina lucida. The so-separated epithelium does not contain substantial amounts of corneal stromal tissue, or for purposes of this invention, does not contain any more than an insubstantial amount of the stromal tissue when the procedure is practiced on “normal” eyes (those having no artifacts due to injury or to disease). The so-separated epithelium does not contain Collagen Type I or Type III as may be found in the stromal tissues.

[0058] I have found that delaminator tips made according to this description may be made of springy materials, as discussed above, having a thickness similar to the thickness of the basal cell layer, e.g., about 1/2 mil to 3.5 mils. (0.0005 to 0.0035”), but often about 1.0 mil to 3.0 mils (0.001 to 0.003”). A thickness near 2.0 mils is excellent.

[0059] Although the procedure here is normally used to dissect a substantially intact sheet of the epithelium, i.e., the portion of the epithelium that passes to the anterior side of the dissector is continuous, the device may be used in less elegant ways. For instance, the dissector may be used to remove selected portions of that membrane. Indeed, when this device is used in conjunction with a LASEK procedure, the epithelium may be removed in the form of a soft flap allowing for ease of replacement or re-positioning once any corneal laser remodeling is completed. This dissector may be used to form an epithelial pocket.

[0060] In some instances it may be desirable to also apply heat to the anterior surface of the eye to enhance the mechanical epithelial delamination.

[0061] Additional variations of the dissector device and of the motions at their distal tip are shown in Figures 7A, 8, 9, and 10.

[0062] Figure 7A shows a simple blunt tip (270) on a dissector (272). Again, the tip (270) is not sufficiently sharp to cut into the cornea. This particular

variation includes a center of rotation (274) that may itself be moved longitudinally (as may be seen in Figure 10) or side-to-side (as shown in Figure 8). This variety of motions allows the dissector described here to be used for a variety of variously difficult and simple epithelial delamination procedures.

[0063] Figure 7B shows a side view of the delaminating dissector (272) with its suitably blunt tip (270). It may be observed that the distal portion of dissector (272) includes a fairly gentle curve (276) to allow its easy use upon the corneal epithelium.

[0064] Figure 8 shows the dissector blade (272) having both a center of rotation (278) about which the blade oscillates and rotates. The center of rotation (278) also translates from side-to-side (280) to provide a complex, rotating, translating movement 282 at the distal tip.

[0065] Figure 9 depicts a dissector blade (272) that simply oscillates in a linear fashion 284 from side-to-side without including any longitudinal motion.

[0066] Finally, Figure 10 shows a dissector blade (272) having an axis of oscillatory rotation 286 that is moved in a figure eight movement. This allows the tip of the blade (270) to move both side-to-side and (slightly) along the longitudinal axis of the blade (272).

[0067] The epithelial delaminating methods herein described may also be used in conjunction with corneal reshaping procedures or procedures that involve placement of ocular lens devices on the surface of the eye. Specifically, the disclosed procedure may be used to prepare an epithelial pocket or a flap, often with an attached hinge. A suitable ocular lens may then be placed on the stromal surface and the epithelial flap replaced over the lens. One such suitable ocular lens device to be used with the present invention is described in Application No. PCT/US01/22633 which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety.

[0068] Similarly, a corneal reshaping procedure may be performed and the corneal flap replaced.

[0069] The structure and physiologic properties for my invention, as well as certain of the benefits particular to the specific variations of this epithelial delaminating device, have been described. This manner of describing the invention should not, however, be taken as limiting the scope of the invention in any way.

I CLAIM AS MY INVENTION:

1. A device for separating epithelium from an eye having a cornea with epithelium and a stroma, the device comprising an oscillating epithelial delaminator member configured to apply a mechanical force beneath that epithelium to separate the epithelium from the stroma without cutting that stroma, said separated epithelium being substantially free of Collagen Type I and Collagen Type III.

2. The device of claim 1 wherein the oscillating epithelial delaminator member comprises a spatula-like or substantially flat member formed into a form having a small hollow.

3. The device of claim 1 wherein the oscillating epithelial delaminator member comprises a movable tip having a side-to-side axis and an up-and-down axis and movable arms that, configured so that when the arms are moved, but not simultaneously moved in the same relative direction at the same time, the arms cooperate to cause at least a side-to-side motion in the movable tip.

4. The device of claim 3 wherein the movable arms are configured so that when the arms are moved, but not simultaneously moved in the same relative direction at the same time, the arms cooperate further to cause at least an up-and-down motion in the movable tip.

5. The device of claim 3 wherein the movable arms are distally moved in a rotational motion such that when the arms are moved, but not simultaneously moved in the same relative direction at the same time, the arms cooperate and cause at least one of a side-to-side motion and an up-and-down motion at the movable tip.

6. The device of claim 3 wherein the movable arms have distal ends remote from the movable tip, the device further comprising a rotating member causing the distal ends of the movable arms to have a rotational motion such that when they are moved, but not simultaneously moved in the same relative direction at the same time, the arms cooperate and cause at least one of a side-to-side motion and an up-and-down motion at the movable tip.

7 The device of claim 3 further comprising an orientation plate having openings through which the movable arms pass.

8. The device of claim 1 wherein the oscillating epithelial delaminator member comprises a movable tip having a side-to-side axis and an up-and-down axis and is configured to move in at least one of a side-to-side motion and an up-and-down motion.

9. The device of claim 1 wherein the oscillating epithelial delaminator comprises a movable tip having a side-to-side axis and a back-and-forth longitudinal axis and is configured to move in at least one of a side-to-side motion and a back-and-forth motion

10. The device of claim 1 wherein the oscillating epithelial delaminator member is configured to separate the epithelium in at least one continuous portion.

11. The device of claim 1 wherein the oscillating epithelial delaminator member is configured to separate the epithelium and form an epithelial pocket.

12. The device of claim 1 wherein the oscillating epithelial delaminator member is configured to separate the epithelium and form an epithelial flap.

13. A method for lifting epithelium from an eye having a cornea with an epithelium and stroma, comprising the steps of:

 placing an epithelial delaminator member of any of claims 1-12 beneath the epithelium, and

 moving the epithelial delaminator member to apply a mechanical force beneath the epithelium with a force sufficient to separate the epithelium in a continuous layer from the stroma but not to cut the stroma.

14. The method of claim 13 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of forming an epithelial pocket.

15. The method of claim 13 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of forming an epithelial flap.

16. The method of claim 13 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of peeling the epithelial flap to expose the stroma.

17. The method of claim 13 further comprising the step of performing a surgical step on the stroma.

18. The method of claim 17 where the surgical step comprises reshaping the stroma.

19. The method of claim 18 further comprising the step of replacing the flap on the stroma.

20. The method of claim 17 further comprising the step of placing an ocular lens on the stroma.

21. The method of claim 20 further comprising the step of replacing the flap on the stroma.

22. The method of claim 13 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of forming an epithelial pocket or flap.

23. The method of claim 22 further comprising the step of placing an ocular lens on the stroma beneath the epithelium.

24. A method for forming an attached epithelium flap or pocket on an eye having a cornea with an epithelium and stroma, comprising the steps of:
placing an epithelial delaminator member beneath the epithelium
and

moving the epithelial delaminator member to apply a mechanical force beneath the epithelium with a force sufficient to form a separated epithelial tissue, an epithelial flap, or epithelial pocket attached with epithelial tissue to the stroma, but not to cut the stroma.

25. The method of claim 24 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of forming a separated epithelial tissue.

26. The method of claim 24 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of forming an epithelial flap.

27. The method of claim 24 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of forming an epithelial pocket.

28. The method of claim 24 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of peeling the epithelial flap to expose the stroma.

29. The method of claim 24 further comprising the step of performing a surgical step on the stroma.

30. The method of claim 29 where the surgical step comprises reshaping the stroma.

31. The method of claim 30 further comprising the step of replacing an epithelial flap on the stroma.

32. The method of claim 31 further comprising the step of placing an ocular lens on the stroma.

33. The method of claim 32 further comprising the step of replacing an epithelial flap on the stroma.

34. The method of claim 25 where the step of applying a mechanical force comprises a step of forming an epithelial pocket or flap.

35. The method of claim 34 further comprising the step of placing an ocular lens on the stroma beneath the epithelium.

36. The structure formed by any of the methods of claims 13-35.

37. A method for changing the vision of an eye having an anterior corneal surface and an epithelial tissue layer, the method comprising the step of:

placing a oscillating epithelial delaminator member of any of claims 1-12 beneath the epithelial tissue layer,

separating from the anterior corneal surface, a substantially continuous epithelial layer having a portion connected to the corneal surface,

introducing an implant onto the corneal anterior surface, and placing the attached epithelial tissue onto the implant.

38. The method of claim 37 where the step of introducing an implant onto the corneal anterior surface comprises introducing an ocular device comprising a synthetic polymer onto the uncut corneal anterior surface.

39. The method of claim 37 wherein the step of separating the substantially continuous epithelial layer produces an epithelial tissue layer containing substantially no corneal tissue.





40. The method of claim 39 wherein the step of separating produces an epithelial tissue flap containing substantially no corneal tissue.






41. The method of claim 39 wherein the step of separating produces an epithelial tissue pocket where the separated epithelial tissue contains substantially no corneal tissue.

42. The structure produced by the method of claim 37 comprising the implant in contact with the epithelial tissue and the corneal anterior surface.

43. The structure produced by the method of claim 38 comprising a synthetic polymer ocular device in contact with the epithelial tissue and the corneal anterior surface.

44. The structure produced by the method of claim 39 comprising the implant in contact with the epithelial tissue and the corneal anterior surface.








Fig. 4A





Fig. 4B






Figure 7A




Figure 7B




Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US2005/021347

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 A61F9/013

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 A61F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 03/061518 A (PEREZ, EDWARD) 31 July 2003 (2003-07-31) page 1, paragraph 1 page 6, paragraph 24-26 page 17, paragraphs 102,103 page 19, paragraph 113 page 25, paragraph 142	1,9-12
A	-----	2,8
X	EP 0 482 847 A (ALCON SURGICAL, INC.,) 29 April 1992 (1992-04-29) column 3, line 21 - column 4, line 13 column 6, line 4 - column 10, line 18 column 12, lines 1-24 column 13, line 57 - column 14, line 19	1,2, 10-12
A	-----	8,9
	-----	-/-

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 October 2005

Date of mailing of the international search report

04/11/2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Kakoullis, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2003/018348 A1 (PALLIKARIS IOANNIS ET AL) 23 January 2003 (2003-01-23) page 2, paragraph 41 – page 3, paragraph 46 figure 8	1,9-12
Y	-----	8
X	US 2003/191485 A1 (SHIMMEL JEFFREY T ET AL) 9 October 2003 (2003-10-09) the whole document	1,10-12
Y	----- US 2001/001829 A1 (SUGIMURA MASAHIRO ET AL) 24 May 2001 (2001-05-24) page 1, paragraphs 4,7-10 page 5, paragraph 104 page 6, paragraph 121	8
A	-----	1
A	US 6 656 196 B1 (CARRIAZO CESAR C) 2 December 2003 (2003-12-02) column 2, lines 21-31 column 4, lines 8-15 figure 12A	1,2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2005/021347

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claims Nos.: 13-44 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Rule 39.1(iv) PCT – Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US2005/021347

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 03061518	A	31-07-2003	CA CN EP JP	2473703 A1 1642499 A 1474084 A2 2005515019 T		31-07-2003 20-07-2005 10-11-2004 26-05-2005
EP 0482847	A	29-04-1992	AU CA	8604391 A 2052844 A1		30-04-1992 27-04-1992
US 2003018348	A1	23-01-2003	US US	2004167555 A1 2003018347 A1		26-08-2004 23-01-2003
US 2003191485	A1	09-10-2003	AU WO US	2003256247 A1 03092544 A2 2003191484 A1		17-11-2003 13-11-2003 09-10-2003
US 2001001829	A1	24-05-2001		NONE		
US 6656196	B1	02-12-2003	AU US	4235700 A 6296650 B1		14-11-2000 02-10-2001